#### CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

# Project Staff Report 2015 First Round June 10, 2015

Project Number CA-15-054

**Project Name** The Woodlands

Site Address: 2480 Ellis Street

Redding, CA 96001 County: Shasta

Census Tract: 104.000

Tax Credit AmountsFederal/AnnualState/TotalRequested:\$1,173,263\$0Recommended:\$1,173,263\$0

**Applicant Information** 

Applicant: PC Redding Apartments Limited Partnership

Contact: Mitch Slagerman

Address: 15635 Alton Parkway, Ste. 375

Irvine, CA 92618

Phone: (949) 878-9373 Fax: (949) 878-9373

Email: mslagerman@palmcommunities.com

General Partner(s) / Principal Owner(s): Northern Valley Catholic Services

PC Redding Developers LLC

General Partner Type: Joint Venture

Parent Company(ies): Northern Valley Catholic Services

Palm Communities

Developer: D.L. Horn & Associates, LLC

Investor/Consultant: Wells Fargo

Management Agent(s): ConAm Management

**Project Information** 

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 8
Total # of Units: 55

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 54 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60% Federal Subsidy: HOME

Affordability Breakdown by Units and % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 8 10 % 35% AMI: 6 10 % 40% AMI: 6 10 % 45% AMI: 6 10 % 50% AMI: 8 10 %

### **Information**

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: Capital and Northern Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Mayra Lozano

### **Unit Mix**

14 1-Bedroom Units

21 2-Bedroom Units

20 3-Bedroom Units

55 Total Units

_Uni	t Type & Number	2014 Rents Targeted % of Area Median Income	2014 Rents Actual % of Area Median Income	Proposed Rent (including utilities)
2	1 Bedroom	30%	26%	\$263
4	1 Bedroom	35%	26%	\$263
5	1 Bedroom	40%	26%	\$263
3	1 Bedroom	45%	26%	\$263
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	29%	\$363
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$370
2	2 Bedrooms	35%	35%	\$432
3	2 Bedrooms	45%	29%	\$363
2	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$617
9	2 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$740
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$427
1	3 Bedrooms	40%	40%	\$570
6	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$712
11	3 Bedrooms	60%	60%	\$854
1	2 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

**Project Cost Summary at Application** 

Project Cost Summary at Application	
Land and Acquisition	\$1,765,390
Construction Costs	\$9,128,966
Rehabilitation Costs	\$0
Construction Contingency	\$0
Relocation	\$0
Architectural/Engineering	\$955,000
Construction Interest, Perm Financing	\$649,465
Legal Fees, Appraisals	\$180,000
Reserves	\$899,823
Other Costs	\$2,074,649
Developer Fee	\$1,495,003
Commercial Costs	\$0
Total	\$17,148,296

### **Project Financing**

#### Residential

Estimated Total Project Cost:	\$17,148,296	Construction Cost Per Square Foot:	\$118
Estimated Residential Project Cost:	\$17,148,296	Per Unit Cost:	\$311,787

### **Construction Financing**

### **Permanent Financing**

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Wells Fargo	\$10,617,398	City of Redding - Land Donation	\$1,639,000
City of Redding - Land Donation	\$1,639,000	City of Redding - HOME	\$1,750,000
City of Redding - HOME	\$1,000,000	CalHFA - MHSA	\$1,790,700
CalHFA - MHSA	\$1,790,700	Deferred Developer Fee	\$2,511
Deferred Developer Fee	\$1,502,894	Tax Credit Equity	\$11,966,085
Tax Credit Equity	\$598,304	TOTAL	\$17,148,296

### **Determination of Credit Amount(s)**

Requested Eligible Basis:	\$11,736,152
130% High Cost Adjustment:	Yes
Applicable Fraction:	100.00%
Qualified Basis:	\$15,256,998
Applicable Rate:	7.69%
Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit:	\$1,173,263
Approved Developer Fee in Project Cost:	\$1,495,003
Approved Developer Fee in Eligible Basis:	\$1,400,000
Investor/Consultant:	Wells Fargo
Federal Tax Credit Factor:	\$1.01990

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

### **Eligible Basis and Basis Limit**

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$11,736,152 Actual Eligible Basis: \$13,646,689 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$12,339,672 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$13,187,858

## Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Local Development Impact Fees

#### **Tie-Breaker Information**

First: Large Family Second: 43.383%

#### **Cost Analysis and Line Item Review**

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceeds the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 7.69% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit or credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.30%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

**Special Issues/Other Significant Information:** The project has 19 units that will be receiving MHSA rental subsidy.

**Legal Status:** Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

#### **Local Reviewing Agency:**

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Redding, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

**Recommendation:** Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual State Tax Credits/Total \$1,173,263 \$0

#### **Standard Conditions**

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation and any Readiness to Proceed Requirements elected. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

**Additional Conditions: None** 

Dointa Cristone	Max. Possible	Requested	Points
Points System	Points	<b>Points</b>	Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of regular bus stop (or dial-a-ride service for rural set-aside)	4	4	4
Within ¼ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of public library	2	2	2
Within 1.5 miles of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	3	3	3
Within ¼ mile of a public elementary school	3	3	3
Service Amenities	10	10	10
LARGE FAMILY, SENIOR, AT-RISK HOUSING TYPES			
Adult ed/health & wellness/skill bldg classes, minimum 84 hrs/yr	7	7	7
After school program for school age children, minimum of 6 hours/week	3	3	3
Sustainable Building Methods	10	10	10
NEW CONSTRUCTION/ADAPTIVE REUSE			
Develop project in accordance w/ requirements of: LEED	5	5	5
Develop project to requirements of: LEED Gold	5	5	5
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
Miscellaneous Federal and State Policies	2	2	2
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	148	148	148

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.